Funded by
the European Union

# Article: Challenges in Higher Education in Kyrgyzstan: Issues and Policy Implications

**Bishkek 2025 June**

**Authors:**

**Mirlan Chynybaev, Kyrgyz State Technical University: Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan**

**Nurlan Omurov, Osh State University, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan**

**Gulnara Isaeva, National Erasmus+ Office, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan**

**Rita Ismailova, Computer Engineering Department, Kyrgyz-Turkish Manas University, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan**

**Svetlana Sirmbard, Adam University, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan**

**Amangeldi Zhumadilov, Salymbekov Univeristy, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan**

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Funded by
the European Union



# Table of Contents

# Introduction

In recent years, Kyrgyzstan has made significant strides in strengthening and transforming its higher education system. Today, more than 220,000 students are enrolled in 89 higher education institutions across the country, including both public and private universities. Additionally, the secondary vocational education system accommodates over 120,000 students. A notable dimension of this growth is the increasing internationalization of the sector—over 55,000 foreign students are currently studying in Kyrgyzstan, with a balanced representation from both neighboring and more distant countries.

The government has adopted an ambitious agenda to modernize the higher education system through the implementation of the Concept and Roadmap for the transformation of higher professional education into the “University 4.0” model. As part of this reform, twelve leading universities have been granted special status, providing them with enhanced autonomy to compete more effectively in the global educational market. This initiative has already yielded measurable results. For the first time, Kyrgyz universities with special status have been included in prominent international university rankings.

In 2023, the Kyrgyz State Technical University (KSTU) and the Kyrgyz State Medical Academy (KSMA) entered the QS Asia University Rankings, placed among the top 351–400 institutions out of 856. Meanwhile, Osh State University secured a place among the top three universities in the Eurasian Educational Space ranking. KSTU also achieved 19th place in the QS Central Asia Rankings for 2024, and the Kyrgyz National Agrarian University (KNAU) was recognized among the top institutions in agricultural sciences by the IAAR Eurasian University Ranking.

The QS Asia University Rankings for 2025 included six Kyrgyz universities: Kyrgyz National University (381st), KSTU (381st), Osh State University (260th), Kyrgyz-Turkish Manas University (230th), Kyrgyz-Russian Slavic University (333rd), and the American University of Central Asia (260th).

New institutional initiatives and strategic partnerships are contributing to this upward trajectory. The launch of a National University Ranking in 2024 marked a new step in domestic quality benchmarking. Prestigious international collaborations were also initiated, including the opening of a branch of Lomonosov Moscow State University at Osh State University, a representative office of the Baltic Federal University at I. Arabayev Kyrgyz State University, and a branch of the Higher School of Economics (Russia) at the Ministry of Finance's training center.

Innovative hubs and research centers are emerging across the country. At KSTU, Chinese-supported Lu Ban workshops, a lab for sustainable building materials and textiles, and a new Center of Excellence in Applied Artificial Intelligence and Cybersecurity have been established. At Kyrgyz National University, a dedicated research center for artificial intelligence has been launched. Osh State University has opened a robotics hub for youth engagement titled “Kelechek Muun HUB.”

In support of student well-being, on-campus kindergartens have been opened at four universities. At KNAU, an IN VITRO laboratory is now operational, and in partnership with South Korea, the university is implementing a $3.5 million project aimed at developing vegetable seed production infrastructure.

Significant investments in physical infrastructure continue. A five-story Clinical Training and Testing Center has been completed at KSMA, along with a new university hospital in Batken. New academic buildings were constructed at Jalal-Abad State University, and Issyk-Kul State University launched a new Medical Faculty through a $1 million contract with a Pakistani company.

On the legislative front, a new Law on Technoparks was adopted in July 2024 to facilitate innovation at university campuses. For the first time, a comprehensive "Quality and Innovation in Higher Education" project valued at $25 million and supported by the World Bank has been ratified. This project aims to foster applied research, innovation, and the establishment of Centers of Excellence and research hubs.

Finally, major funding initiatives have been introduced to expand access and opportunity. The Ministry of Education and Science received over 86 million KGS from the Presidential Fund to support the 2024–2025 cohort of the "El Ümütü" Presidential Scholarship program. An additional 10 million KGS from the national budget has been allocated to fund student startup initiatives.

Together, these efforts signal a strong commitment by Kyrgyzstan to build a modern, competitive, and inclusive higher education system that supports both national development goals and international integration.

## Systemic Challenges in Governance and Structure

Kyrgyzstan's higher education system struggles with systemic issues rooted in its post-Soviet legacy and rapid, uncoordinated expansion. **Governance fragmentation and limited autonomy** are major concerns. In the Soviet era, universities had little autonomy – curricula and degrees were centrally controlled – and research was carried out in separate academies files.eric.ed.gov. After independence, the proliferation of new institutions (public and private) led to a **lack of coherence in oversight and standards**, with multiple languages of instruction and parallel degree structures in the 1990s files.eric.ed.gov. Only in 2011 did a government decree mandate a unified two-tier (bachelor/master) structure and credit-hour system across all universities, effectively forcing the adoption of Bologna Process principles files.eric.ed.gov. While this was a positive step toward standardization, **policy implementation capacity** remains uneven. Multiple ministries and agencies still have roles in higher education (for example, some specialized institutes are under sectoral ministries), complicating centralized planning and quality control. University governance is evolving slowly from Soviet-style centralization toward institutional autonomy. New amendments to the Education Law in 2022

expanded universities' academic freedom to 90% of curriculum design (with only 10% being a mandatory core) erasmusplus.kg, yet **managerial and financial autonomy** is still limited in practice. Universities often cannot set competitive salaries or reallocate funds freely, which hampers responsiveness and innovation.

**Corruption and quality assurance challenges** are another systemic issue undermining trust and efficiency. The introduction of a nationwide standardized test (ORT) for university admissions in 2002 was a direct response to rampant nepotism and bribery in admissions files.eric.ed.gov. This reform, supported by international donors, greatly improved transparency in selecting students on merit. However, corruption persists in other areas – from grading to the granting of diplomas – which "replaced the value of education with the value of just having a diploma" as one report noted bluntly erasmusplus.kg. In other words, many students and families see a degree as a purchased credential rather than a mark of competence, reflecting a **"diploma disease"** where the integrity of academic standards is sometimes compromised. The government has established an independent accreditation system and a National Accreditation Council to strengthen quality assurance, with several independent agencies (including international ones) reviewing programs documents1.worldbank.org. Despite this, only an estimated 20% of universities were initially prepared to meet rigorous quality standards documents1.worldbank.org, and **enforcement of accreditation results** (including potential closure or merger of substandard institutions) has been cautious. The number of HEIs actually grew to 78 today from about 54 in 2010, largely due to private institutions erasmusplus.kg and adb.org, suggesting that **consolidation efforts** have yet to take effect. Oversight capacity needs further strengthening so that accreditation is not just a formality but a real mechanism to weed out low-quality "garage" universities.

**Policy planning and data systems** also present systemic challenges. Until recently, education reforms were often project-based and donor-driven, leading to piecemeal changes. The Education Development Strategy 2012–2020 was an attempt to bring a comprehensive vision, focusing on rationalizing financing and management eeas.europa.eu. A new Education Development Program for 2021–2040 has since been adopted, emphasizing modernization and digitalization. Still, weaknesses persist in evidence-based decision making – for example, the **education management information system** and graduate tracking are underdeveloped. The World Bank's forthcoming Higher Education Quality and Innovation Project (2024) explicitly aims to introduce a student tracking system and enforce strict anti-corruption guidelines in project-funded activities worldbank.org. This indicates recognition that **monitoring and accountability frameworks** need improvement at the systemic level.

A systemic challenge lies in the insufficient prioritization of higher education in national strategic documents. Although Kyrgyzstan has adopted the Education Development Program for 2021–2040 and several sectoral strategies (including those focused on digitalization, science, and innovation), higher education is either addressed superficially or reduced to general statements without specific goals, indicators, or implementation mechanisms. For instance, unlike general education, the higher education sector lacks clearly defined

quantitative benchmarks for enrollment, graduate employment, research activity, or international competitiveness.

This lack of prioritization hinders effective planning, resource allocation, and progress evaluation. The absence of standalone policy documents fully dedicated to higher education, along with weak institutional coordination among the Ministry of Education, the Ministry of Finance, the Ministry of Labor, and other key agencies, undermines a systemic approach to reform. Moreover, the links between higher education and strategies for human capital development, the digital economy, and innovation remain weak or purely declarative.

In this context, it is recommended to develop a dedicated strategy (or roadmap) for the development of higher education through 2030, aligned with both national and international goals (e.g., the SDGs, the Bologna Process, and EU sustainable development priorities). Such a strategy should include clear priorities, indicators, implementation stages, and evaluation mechanisms. This would help strengthen the role of higher education as a driver of socio-economic development and reduce fragmentation in the current policy landscape.

An additional factor contributing to institutional fragmentation and administrative complexity was the division of the Ministry of Education and Science in the spring of 2025. As a result of this restructuring, two separate ministries were established:

- The Ministry of Enlightenment of the Kyrgyz Republic, responsible for preschool, school, and initial vocational education;

- The Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Innovation of the Kyrgyz Republic, responsible for university-level education, research, and intellectual property.

While the reform aimed to improve management efficiency and strengthen the focus on the development of science and higher education, as of the time of this report, clear mechanisms for coordination between the two newly formed ministries have yet to be established. Additionally, the transition period has been marked by personnel changes, overlapping functions, and uncertainty regarding the institutional subordination of several educational bodies. This creates risks of policy inconsistency, especially in cross-cutting areas such as teacher training, digital transformation of education, and career guidance for secondary school graduates.

To minimize the consequences of institutional fragmentation, it is essential to promptly approve inter-ministerial protocols for cooperation, clearly delineate responsibilities, and ensure integration of planning and budgeting processes between the two ministries within the framework of a unified national education development strategy.

## Financial Challenges and Resource Constraints

Although the Kyrgyz Republic devotes a high share of public resources to education (roughly 20% of total public expenditure) [documents1.worldbank.org], higher education remains financially constrained. Public funding per student is low, and universities supplement their budgets by enrolling tuition-paying students in large numbers. As noted, nearly 9 out of 10 students pay tuition, even in public universities [erasmusplus.kg]. State scholarships (grants) are limited – only 6,000 new public grant places were available in 2023, for instance [erasmusplus.kg]. However, in a notable policy shift, the number of state-funded higher education grants for the 2024/25 academic year has been increased to **10,000**, according to a draft government resolution currently under public review. This expansion aims to improve access to education for talented applicants from socially vulnerable backgrounds, and to contribute to the overall development of human capital in the republic.

According to the Ministry of Education and Science, **7,742** of these grants are allocated to universities under its jurisdiction. The remaining **2,258** grants are distributed among other ministries: the Ministry of Internal Affairs (130 grants), Ministry of Health (490), Ministry of Culture, Information, Sports and Youth Policy (214), Ministry of Defense (125), and Ministry of Foreign Affairs (20).

Despite this positive development, the overall financing model still raises concerns about equity and sustainability. The dominance of tuition-based admissions pressures universities to prioritize quantity over quality, and perpetuates the dual-track admission system where a small proportion of high-achieving students access free education, while the majority enter on a fee-paying basis. While this model injects much-needed funds, it risks undermining academic meritocracy and institutional quality in the long run.

The reliance on private financing is compounded by **underinvestment in infrastructure and research**. Most universities struggle with outdated facilities and limited laboratory equipment erasmusplus.kg. Dormitory space, libraries, and technology infrastructure have not kept up with enrollment growth. Many campuses need modernization, as **obsolete material and technical resources** "do not correspond to the pace of modern technologies"erasmusplus.kg. Budget limitations also translate into low faculty salaries, prompting professors to teach at multiple institutions or seek additional jobs for income. This in turn affects instructional quality and faculty research output. The *brain drain* of talent is an ever-present concern: without competitive salaries or research funding, top scholars often leave for jobs abroad or outside academia. For example, Kyrgyzstan's public spending on research and science is only about **0.08% of GDP**, an extremely low figure erasmusplus.kg. By comparison, this is only a tiny fraction of what even other developing countries spend on R&D, leaving universities with minimal resources for knowledge production. Such chronic underfunding has led to a near absence of Kyrgyz universities in global research rankings and innovation metrics. (Notably, five Kyrgyz universities only recently made it into the QS World University Rankings for the first time – all in the lower tiers 24.kg – reflecting how much progress is still needed in global competitiveness.)

**Financial management inefficiencies** further exacerbate challenges. Financially, higher education remains underfunded despite Kyrgyzstan dedicating nearly 20% of public

expenditure to education overall. Public funding per student is low, and institutions rely heavily on tuition fees—almost 90% of students pay out-of-pocket. This model risks excluding talented students from low-income or rural backgrounds and encourages universities to prioritize enrollment volume over quality. Faculty salaries are low, contributing to moonlighting and talent loss, while outdated facilities and inadequate infrastructure constrain both teaching and research. Research investment is particularly limited, at only 0.08% of GDP, far below regional or global norms. As a result, Kyrgyz universities have historically lagged in global rankings and research output, though 2025 marked the first year that six national universities entered the QS Asia University Rankings. Historically, line-item budgeting and centralized controls made it hard for universities to reallocate funds toward strategic needs (e.g. updating a computer lab or hiring industry experts as lecturers). The EU's budget support program (2013–2015) assisted the Ministry of Education and Science (MoES) in introducing program-based budgeting and international accounting standards eeas.europa.eueeas.europa.eu. These reforms improved transparency and helped the MoES meet 96% of its EDS 2020 targets eeas.europa.eu. However, at the institutional level, many university leaders still lack modern financial management capacity. A push for **greater financial autonomy** is underway – a recent strategy on financial autonomy (supported by Erasmus+ projects) has been exploring funding formula reforms and diversification of income sources cesie.org. Potential interventions include performance-based funding allocations from the state (rewarding universities for quality improvements or research output) and enabling universities to retain earnings from services or partnerships. Ensuring adequate funding is not just about injecting more money, but also about **using funds effectively**. Without strengthening governance and reducing corruption in procurement and budgeting, additional resources may not translate into better outcomes.

## Quality and Relevance Challenges

Ensuring the **quality of higher education** is perhaps Kyrgyzstan's greatest challenge, encompassing curricula relevance, teaching standards, and research output. One core issue has been **outdated academic programs and teaching methods** that do not meet the needs of a changing economy. For many years, universities continued to train personnel in narrow specializations suited to a "receding resource-intensive economy" – a holdover from Soviet times when heavy industry dominated erasmusplus.kg. Even as the economy shifted toward services, migration, and small enterprise, university curricula were slow to adapt. Course content often lagged international trends, and pedagogies remained traditional (rote learning and lectures). Employers have frequently complained of a mismatch between graduates' skills and labor market requirements, contributing to graduate underemployment. In fact, a World Bank-OECD review found a *significant skills mismatch* and urged broad involvement of employers in updating curricula and competency standards documents1.worldbank.org and erasmusplus.kg. Encouragingly, there are recent steps to modernize content: new competency-based standards were adopted in 2022, and experimental curricula incorporating international best practices have been introduced in some teaching majors erasmusplus.kg. Still, scaling these innovations system-wide remains a work in progress.

**Faculty development and pedagogy** are also areas of concern. The professoriate in Kyrgyzstan faces an aging profile and variable qualifications. Of the 15,000 faculty members, only around 1,100 hold a Doctor of Science (the highest scientific degree) and 12,000 are Candidates of Science (PhD-equivalent) erasmusplus.kg. Many senior professors were trained decades ago and may have limited exposure to modern pedagogical techniques or research in their field. At the same time, younger academics often lack mentorship and may juggle multiple jobs. Teaching methods thus tend to rely on lectures and memorization rather than interactive, student-centered learning. A *low receptivity to innovation by teaching staff* has been noted as negatively affecting education quality erasmusplus.kg. There is limited use of digital tools or project-based learning in many institutions outside a few elite universities. The COVID-19 pandemic did spur a crash-course in online teaching, and the Education Development Strategy 2040 places emphasis on digital skills for educators education-profiles.org. Nonetheless, continuous professional development for faculty is not institutionalized. Kyrgyzstan lacks robust requirements for professors to update their skills (e.g. periodic training or certification), though some universities are now implementing pedagogy training and English language upskilling for staff through donor-funded projects.

A related challenge is the **quality assurance (QA) system** and enforcement of academic standards. As discussed under systemic issues, independent accreditation agencies have begun operating, and by 2019 several agencies (including the Kyrgyz Accreditation Agency and international bodies) were reviewing programs files.eric.ed.govfiles.eric.ed.gov. Every year the number of accredited programs rises bio-conferences.org, which should, in theory, drive up quality. However, accreditation is only meaningful if it leads to action on deficiencies. The QA system is still maturing: there is concern that some accreditations may be pro-forma, given agencies are funded by fees from the very universities they evaluate erasmusplus.kg. Strengthening the **independence and rigor of QA** is crucial. The government has signaled intent to tighten oversight; for example, it created a National University Ranking system to benchmark institutions and "prepare universities to participate in international rankings"erasmusplus.kg. The new World Bank project also focuses on improving QA and establishing a robust student and graduate tracking mechanism to monitor outcomes worldbank.org. These efforts could help shift the academic culture from one of inputs (enrollment, contact hours) to outputs (learning gains, employment of graduates).

Finally, **research and innovation capacity** in universities is very limited, affecting the overall quality and reputation of higher education. Under the Soviet model, research was largely conducted in academy institutes separate from teaching universities. Post-independence, this separation continued to some extent, and universities remained largely teaching-focused. The integration of research into universities has been slow – although recently *seven research institutes were merged into universities and cooperation agreements signed with others* erasmusplus.kg. The lack of funding (only 0.08% of GDP to science erasmusplus.kg) means equipment is outdated and research projects are few. Academic staff have little incentive to conduct research when salaries for teaching are low and grants are scarce. Consequently, Kyrgyzstan's research output (in terms of publications and patents) is one of the lowest in the region. This is a vicious cycle: low research activity diminishes the quality of graduate programs (e.g. weak master's and PhD training) and reduces the ability of institutions to

innovate in teaching. To address this, the government and donors are now promoting **applied research and innovation in priority areas**. The new Academic Innovation Fund will provide competitive grants to universities for research, with an emphasis on industry collaboration, climate science, and female researchers' participation worldbank.org. Some universities have also opened **startup centers and business incubators** on campus erasmusplus.kg to foster student innovation and link with entrepreneurs. Over time, these initiatives aim to make inquiry and innovation a bigger part of the higher education mission, thereby improving overall academic quality and relevance to the economy.

## Access and Equity Challenges

Kyrgyzstan faces notable disparities in access to higher education, reflecting socio-economic, geographic, and demographic inequalities. The gross enrollment ratio in tertiary education has risen to around **55–56%** in recent years theglobaleconomy.com, indicating that over half of college-age youth are enrolling. However, this expansion masks who gets left behind. **Socio-economic status is a major determinant** of who can pursue a degree. According to a 2018 analysis, only 36% of Kyrgyz young adults (25–35 years old) had completed higher education on average – and among the *poorest 40%* of the population, the completion rate was dramatically lower unescap.orgunescap.org. The worst-off subgroup were poor young men from ethnic minority backgrounds, of whom a mere **14%** had finished higher education unescap.org. By contrast, those from wealthier families or urban centers have much higher university completion. These figures underscore that **financial barriers** and opportunity gaps are preventing many capable students from accessing tertiary education. Tuition fees, living costs in city universities, and lost income from not working all disproportionately affect low-income and rural families. While Kyrgyzstan does offer some need-based scholarships and stipends, these are limited. Many students from disadvantaged backgrounds either do not enroll at all or drop out early due to financial strain.

Urban-rural disparities also characterize higher education access in Kyrgyzstan. The best-resourced universities and most competitive academic programs remain concentrated in Bishkek (the capital) and, to a lesser extent, in Osh—the two largest urban centers. Over the past two decades, the government has established new public universities in every region—such as Naryn State University and Talas State University—to improve geographic access to higher education [files.eric.ed.gov]. Despite this progress, rural students remain significantly underrepresented in universities. They often contend with poorer quality primary and secondary education, as indicated by the pronounced urban–rural divide in school infrastructure, teacher qualifications, and learning outcomes [borgenproject.org]. Even though the national ORT (Unified National Testing) entrance exam is designed to level the playing field, rural students frequently face disadvantages related to limited preparation resources, lower-quality instruction, and a lack of mentoring and admissions guidance. A qualitative study has documented the multiple barriers rural students face—ranging from academic readiness gaps and insufficient information to the high social and economic costs of relocating to major cities for study [scholarworks.waldenu.edu].

To improve equity, the government has introduced initiatives such as the "Online Applicant" system and a more transparent, twice-yearly admissions process [erasmusplus.kg]. However, there remains a strong need for additional support mechanisms, including preparatory bridge courses, targeted career and admissions counseling, and travel or relocation grants for rural applicants.

In parallel with these access measures, the Kyrgyz government has also continued its strategy of strengthening regional higher education institutions. In 2022, a Presidential Decree granted **"special status"**—entailing expanded organizational, financial, and academic autonomy—to several flagship universities: Kyrgyz National University, Kyrgyz State Technical University, Kyrgyz National Agrarian University, Kyrgyz State Medical Academy, and Osh State University. Kyrgyz Economic University was also designated a **research university**, and the Professional Lyceum of Information Technologies No. 99 under the President of the Kyrgyz Republic was assigned special status as well.

In March 2024, the Kyrgyz-Russian Slavic University (KRSU) was added to this list. Most recently, in 2025, **five more regional universities** were granted special status to further enhance regional competitiveness and reduce educational disparities:

- Batken State University
- Issyk-Kul State University named after Kasym Tynystanov
- Jalal-Abad State University named after Bekmamat Osmonov
- Naryn State University named after Satybaldy Naamatov
- Talas State University

These efforts aim to not only improve access but also raise the quality and prestige of regional institutions, thereby offering more balanced opportunities for students across the country.

In terms of **gender equity**, Kyrgyzstan has achieved near parity – in fact, slightly more women than men enroll in higher education (female-to-male enrollment ratio has been about 1.17 in recent years) fred.stlouisfed.org. Women's representation is strong in fields like pedagogy, medicine, and social sciences. However, gender disparities persist in specific areas: women are underrepresented in STEM fields and in vocational technical programs, while men from conservative rural communities may bypass higher education for labor migration. Additionally, there are qualitative gender-based challenges; for example, young women in universities can face safety and harassment issues, early marriage pressures, or lack of family support to study far from home. National policies emphasize *inclusive and equitable quality education for all*, aligning with SDG4, and aim to eliminate gender disparities. Practical measures (such as campus childcare facilities, safe dormitories for female students, and encouraging women in engineering and IT through scholarships) are areas for improvement.

Another access concern is **inclusion of marginalized groups**, including ethnic minorities and students with disabilities. Kyrgyzstan is a multiethnic country (notably 14% Uzbek minority, concentrated in the south). Instruction in most universities is in either Kyrgyz or Russian; while many Uzbeks speak Russian, limited Kyrgyz-language proficiency or perceived discrimination can be barriers for some minority youth. There have been efforts to accommodate multiple languages (some programs taught in English or Uzbek in certain locales), but this is not widespread. For students with disabilities, physical infrastructure and educational support remain inadequate in many HEIs. Few campuses are fully wheelchair-accessible, and specialized services (like assistive technology or sign language interpretation) are rare. A positive development is that the concept of inclusive education is gaining traction through donor programs and civil society advocacy, pressing universities to adapt facilities and curricula for students with special needs cdn.sida.se.

In summary, **while access to higher education in Kyrgyzstan has expanded overall, it is uneven**. The intersection of poverty, location, and other factors leads to unequal opportunities. This has long-term implications: lower participation by the poor and rural populations reinforces social inequality and limits the country's human capital development. Policies must therefore focus not just on increasing enrollment numbers, but on closing the equity gaps – ensuring that talented students from all backgrounds can enter and succeed in higher education.

# Recent Reforms and Developments

The Kyrgyz government, with support from international partners, has undertaken a series of reforms in the past decade to tackle the above challenges. These reforms address structural issues, quality assurance, and alignment with global standards:

Efforts to modernize the sector have accelerated. **The government's "University 4.0" strategy** and Presidential decrees granting special autonomous status to 12 leading universities represent a shift toward building competitive, innovative institutions. These universities now enjoy greater flexibility in governance, international cooperation, and program development. The Kyrgyz State Technical University and Kyrgyz State Medical Academy were ranked among Asia's top 400 universities, and Osh State University has earned recognition as a leading Eurasian institution.

Multiple strategic initiatives underscore Kyrgyzstan's ambition to build a more modern and globally integrated higher education system. New partnerships with global universities, the establishment of advanced research facilities (e.g., AI labs, robotics hubs), and legislative reforms such as the 2024 Law on Technoparks are fostering an innovation ecosystem rooted in universities. A $25 million World Bank-funded "Quality and Innovation in Higher Education" project, alongside national investments in student start-ups and Centers of Excellence, aims to improve research capacity and applied learning.

**Alignment with the Bologna Process:** Since 2011, Kyrgyzstan officially transitioned to a two-level degree structure (Bachelor's and Master's, plus PhD) and adopted a credit-hour system

nation-wide files.eric.ed.govfiles.eric.ed.gov. This reform replaced the old Soviet-style five-year "specialist" degrees (now largely phased out except in a few fields) and facilitated comparability with European higher education. While Kyrgyzstan, being outside Europe, cannot formally join the Bologna Process, it has voluntarily implemented its key tenets (degree cycles, ECTS credits, diploma supplements). The National Qualifications Framework (NQF) with 9 levels was established in 2020 to systematize qualifications and link them to occupational standards erasmusplus.kg. These steps have laid the groundwork for greater international recognition of Kyrgyz diplomas and mobility of students. For instance, many Kyrgyz universities now issue diploma supplements in English. The ongoing challenge is to ensure the NQF and new standards are truly used to update curricula and teaching, not just exist on paper.

**Quality Assurance and Accreditation:** In 2016, Kyrgyzstan piloted independent accreditation, and by 2019 legislation made accreditation by independent agencies mandatory, replacing the old state attestation files.eric.ed.govfiles.eric.ed.gov. Several accreditation agencies are registered nationally – some domestic, some regional (e.g. the Independent Agency for Accreditation and Rating, IAAR) – which evaluate institutions and programs against set criteria. The *licensing* of institutions (basic approval to operate) has been separated from *accreditation* (quality evaluation) erasmusplus.kg. As a result, universities now undergo periodic external reviews. There have been moves to reduce the number of low-quality institutions: for example, tightening licensing requirements led to the closure of a few small private institutes in recent years, and the Ministry announced a policy to **consolidate universities** (merging some or giving them different status) ejournals.bc.edu. To further boost quality, an annual **university ranking** was introduced domestically, and top universities are being encouraged and trained to enter international rankings erasmusplus.kg. This creates healthy competition and sets performance benchmarks. However, it is acknowledged that QA agencies need continued capacity building to ensure their evaluations are rigorous and aligned with international standards (European Standards and Guidelines for QA, etc.). The new Education Development Program (EDP) 2021–2040 calls for improving independent accreditation with better monitoring of agencies' work erasmusplus.kg.

**Curriculum and Pedagogical Reforms:** The Ministry of Education and Science has updated the **State Educational Standards** for higher education in 2022, significantly expanding universities' freedom to design curricula (90% of curriculum is now elective or determined by the university) erasmusplus.kg. The mandatory core (10%) ensures some national cohesion (e.g. language, history courses), but this shift allows institutions to innovate their programs. Experimental curricula in areas like teacher education are being tested, incorporating modern pedagogies and competency-based approaches erasmusplus.kg. To align education with market needs, a mechanism was introduced for allocating state-funded student slots in specific fields **based on employer demand** projections erasmusplus.kg. Additionally, universities have started involving industry experts in curriculum design and introducing more practical training. Teaching methods are slowly changing as well: with donor support, centers of teaching excellence have been set up at major universities to train faculty in student-centered learning and use of technology. The pandemic-driven experience with online

learning led the government to formally recognize distance education as equivalent to full-time study (amending the Law on Education in 2020) education-profiles.org. Now several universities offer online courses or blended learning programs, which can also improve access for remote students.

**Research and Innovation Initiatives:** Recognizing the low research output, Kyrgyz authorities granted *five leading universities the status of "innovative research centers" with wider autonomy* to pursue R&D erasmusplus.kg. These universities (likely including the Kyrgyz National University, Technical University, etc.) receive additional funding and flexibility in hiring to build research capacity. A Presidential scholarship program "El Umuttu" ("Hope of the Nation") was launched to send top students and young researchers abroad for training, on condition that they return and contribute to the country erasmusplus.kg. Furthermore, as mentioned, the World Bank's **Higher Education Quality and Innovation Project** (approved in 2024) will inject $25 million into improving research quality and relevance worldbank.org. It will fund an Academic Innovation Fund offering competitive grants to public and private universities for research projects, especially those focusing on climate change and green technologies worldbank.org. This is expected to stimulate a more vibrant research culture and stronger university-industry links (via commercialization of research outputs). The project will also help strengthen doctoral programs and research infrastructure. These reforms, combined with Kyrgyzstan's participation in international academic programs (e.g. **Erasmus+** capacity building projects and mobility schemes), are gradually improving the research and innovation landscape. For example, under Erasmus+ (2015–2020), Kyrgyz universities undertook numerous projects to modernize curricula and governance, and hundreds of students and staff went to Europe on exchange, bringing back new ideas erasmusplus.kg.

**Governance and Anti-Corruption Measures:** To improve transparency, the **Automated Admission System "Online Applicant"** was introduced, moving the application and placement process online erasmusplus.kg. This has reduced opportunities for bribery or favoritism in admissions since results are processed electronically based on test scores and preferences. Admissions are now conducted **twice a year** (after the national tests), and even during the pandemic, the system functioned online erasmusplus.kg. The government also approved regulations prohibiting universities from forcing faculty or students into unrelated work (e.g., no more compulsory farm work assignments – a Soviet-era relic that sometimes persisted) erasmusplus.kg. There is heightened attention to academic integrity: plagiarism policies and honor codes are being instituted, often with donor support (USAID and the Soros Foundation have worked with some universities on integrity training). Additionally, a new *Law on Education* amendment in 2019 explicitly laid out the framework for the NQF and quality assurance, and an August 2023 amendment further solidified universities' autonomy in academic matters erasmusplus.kg. These legal changes provide a basis for more accountable and modern institutional management. However, enforcing anti-corruption rules (e.g. zero-tolerance for exam bribery) is an ongoing battle that requires cultural change as much as formal rules.

The **policy direction** of recent reforms is clear: Kyrgyzstan is striving to create a higher education system that is quality-oriented, internationally compatible, and inclusive.

Achievements such as the first appearance of Kyrgyz universities in global rankings in 2025 24.kg, the growth of international partnerships, and the digitalization of administrative processes point to positive momentum. Yet, significant work lies ahead to fully institutionalize these changes and realize their impact on students' learning and opportunities.

# Policy Implications and Recommendations

The challenges identified in Kyrgyzstan's higher education have deep roots, but recent progress shows that targeted reforms can yield improvements. For policymakers in the Kyrgyz government, as well as EU institutions and international donors, several implications emerge:

### 1. Establishing a Unified Strategic Framework for Higher Education

Develop a standalone national strategy for higher education development through 2030, with clearly defined priorities, quantitative and qualitative indicators, a detailed implementation timeline, and monitoring mechanisms.

Ensure that this strategy is aligned with national programs in digitalization, human capital development, science, innovation, and sustainable development (including the SDGs and the climate agenda).

### 2. Strengthening Institutional Governance and Coordination

Introduce inter-ministerial coordination mechanisms between the Ministry of Enlightenment and the Ministry of Higher Education, Science, and Innovation.

Reallocate responsibilities to eliminate overlapping functions and integrate budget planning and reporting processes.

Develop a unified Education Management Information System (EMIS) that includes monitoring of academic performance, graduate employment, and teaching quality.

### 3. Enhancing the Sustainability and Efficiency of Funding

Increase the share of public investment in higher education by establishing a per-student funding norm.

Implement a mixed funding model that includes:

- Grants and scholarships targeted at students from socially vulnerable groups;
- Performance-based funding (linked to quality indicators, graduate employment, and research output);

- Financial support for universities introducing innovative programs and building partnerships with the private sector.

Ensure transparency in procurement and conduct independent audits of university budgets with the participation of oversight boards.

### 4. Ensuring Quality and Modernizing Educational Content

Complete the implementation of the National Qualifications Framework (NQF) and integrate it into curricula and accreditation processes.

Update state educational standards across all disciplines to incorporate a competency-based approach, digital skills, and interdisciplinarity.

Involve employers and alumni in the design and evaluation of academic programs.

Support pedagogical modernization by:

- Establishing Centers for Teaching Excellence at leading universities;
- Introducing a national system of professional development for faculty with regular certification;
- Promoting instruction in English and other international languages of communication.

### 5. Developing Research Capacity and an Innovation Ecosystem

To strengthen the research capacity of the higher education sector, it is recommended to increase public funding for research to at least 0.3% of GDP in the medium term, aligning with international standards. It is also important to improve the efficiency and transparency in the allocation of public research grants by ensuring that funding decisions are made through competitive, merit-based processes. In addition, the government should support the establishment of university-based research centers in key priority areas such as climate change, sustainable energy, information technology, and agro-innovation. To further this goal, a national program should be introduced to support the development of research infrastructure in universities, with funding allocated based on institutional proposals and aligned with strategic academic and regional development needs.

Continue implementing academic grant programs (e.g., through the Academic Innovation Fund), with attention to:

- Early-career researchers;

- Gender balance;
- Applied research addressing regional and economic needs.

Strengthen international research cooperation and support participation in Erasmus+, Horizon Europe, and other collaborative initiatives.

### 6. Addressing Inequality and Expanding Access

Introduce quotas or priority funding for students from rural areas, low-income families, minority groups, and people with disabilities.

Support the development of distance and blended learning models with adequate access to technology and digital content.

Implement preparatory (bridge) courses and mentoring programs for applicants from underserved schools.

Ensure physical accessibility of campuses, learning materials, and student services for learners with disabilities.

### 7. Combating Corruption and Strengthening Academic Integrity

Expand the use of digital tools (online admissions, electronic grading systems, video monitoring of exams) to eliminate opportunities for corruption.

Strengthen internal academic integrity mechanisms in universities: codes of conduct, disciplinary committees, and anonymous reporting channels.

Organize regular awareness campaigns to foster a culture of academic honesty among students and faculty.

In implementing these recommendations, a **coordinated effort** between government, universities, and international partners is essential. The EU, for instance, through its Central Asia Education initiatives, can provide technical assistance for QA systems, financing reform, and inclusive education strategies, complementing World Bank and ADB investments. Government ministries (Education, Finance, Labor) must work together to align higher education outputs with national development needs (e.g. linking graduates to the **Digital Transformation Strategy** of the country or to local economic clusters). Crucially, the voices of students, employers, and faculty should inform policy execution – regular surveys and consultation forums can help adjust reforms on the go.

The table below summarizes the key challenges identified, their root causes, and potential interventions to address them, serving as a high-level roadmap for stakeholders:

| Challenge Area | Root Causes (Examples) | Potential Interventions (Examples) |
|---|---|---|
| **Systemic Governance Gaps** | Fragmented oversight (multiple ministries)<br><br>Limited university autonomy historically<br><br>Weak data systems and accountability mechanisms<br><br>Corruption in admissions and exams | Consolidate regulatory authority under MoES with clear QA standards<br><br>Grant more autonomy in exchange for performance agreements<br><br>Develop robust EMIS and publish performance indicators<br><br>Expand e-governance (online exams, admissions) to curb corruption erasmusplus.kg and files.eric.ed.gov |
| **Financial Constraints** | Low public funding per student; budget focused on lower levels<br><br>Reliance on tuition/household spending (limited scholarships)<br><br>Inefficient budget use at universities (rigid, input-based)<br><br>Low faculty salaries, causing moonlighting and brain drain | Increase public funding for HE (target % of GDP to tertiary)<br><br>Introduce needs-based scholarships/loans for disadvantaged students<br><br>Implement performance-based or formula funding to drive efficiency<br><br>Allow universities more financial flexibility (retain earnings, set pay scales) with accountability for results documents1.worldbank.org and eeas.europa.eu |
| **Quality and Relevance Issues** | Outdated curricula and teaching methods from Soviet era erasmusplus.kg<br><br>Faculty capacity gaps; insufficient training and incentives<br><br>Minimal research activity (0.08% GDP on science) erasmusplus.kg | Regularly update curricula with employer input and NQF alignment erasmusplus.kg<br><br>Invest in faculty development (pedagogical training, postgraduate scholarships)<br><br>Fund research via competitive grants; establish centers of excellence<br><br>Strengthen independent accreditation and close programs that don't meet standards documents1.worldbank.org; integrate job market data into program planning |

| Challenge Area | Root Causes (Examples) | Potential Interventions (Examples) |
|---|---|---|
| | Weak link between education and labor market (skills mismatch) | |
| **Access and Equity Disparities** | High costs and living expenses exclude low-income/rural students<br><br>Quality gap in rural secondary schools limits university readiness<br><br>Universities concentrated in cities (geographic barrier)<br><br>Underrepresentation of certain groups (ethnic minorities, disabled) | Increase targeted financial aid (rural/poor student quotas in state grants)<br><br>Support bridging programs and mentoring for students from weak schools<br><br>Develop distance learning programs to reach remote areas education-profiles.org<br><br>Enforce inclusive policies (disabled-friendly campuses, multilingual support) and track enrollment by region/gender to inform policy unescap.orgunescap.org |

# Conclusion

Higher education in the Kyrgyz Republic stands at a pivotal point in its transformation. Over the past two decades, the country has made significant strides in shifting away from a centralized, Soviet-era system focused primarily on workforce reproduction, toward a more flexible, open, and market-oriented model. Despite these advancements—including expanded access to higher education, alignment with the Bologna Process, the introduction of independent accreditation mechanisms, and the gradual adoption of digital tools—the sector continues to face deep-rooted and systemic challenges.

Among the most pressing issues are fragmented governance structures, limited institutional coordination, chronic underfunding, outdated academic programs, and weak linkages between universities and the labor market. These constraints hinder the capacity of higher education to function as a strategic driver of sustainable national development. Moreover, challenges related to equity and access remain acute: students from rural areas and marginalized communities continue to be underrepresented in universities, while opportunities for research and academic inquiry are scarce.

To ensure the sustainable growth of the national economy, it is essential to supply key economic sectors with a well-qualified and future-ready workforce. This requires integrating

employers more effectively into the higher education system through practical and dual education models, and by establishing mechanisms to regularly update curricula in line with labor market demands. Close collaboration between universities and employers is critical to ensure that graduates possess the skills and competencies required by a modern economy.

International partners—such as the European Union, the World Bank, and other donors—offer valuable support through funding, technical expertise, and reform tools. However, achieving long-term, sustainable impact will depend on strong political commitment, a coordinated and systemic reform strategy, and the active participation of all key stakeholders, including government bodies, universities, academic staff, students, and employers.

***Sources:*** *Recent policy documents, international assessments, and project reports were used to inform this analysis, including the Erasmus+ country overview erasmusplus.kgerasmusplus.kg, World Bank and ADB studies documents1.worldbank.orgworldbank.org, and data from UNESCO/ESCAP on educational outcomes unescap.org.*

https://24.kg/obschestvo/308350_esche_pyati_universitetam_kyirgyizstana_pridadut_osobyiy_status/?post

https://24.kg/obschestvo/308350_esche_pyati_universitetam_kyirgyizstana_pridadut_osobyiy_status/?post
https://24.kg/obschestvo/291344_kolichestvo_grantovyih_mest_vvuzah_uvelichili_do10tyisyach/